## 2D Interpolation and regression

In the previous practicals, we looked at regression models, as well as global and local one dimensional interpolation of data, such as using a polynomial, linear sections or splines. In many cases, our problem is two dimensional in nature, so we cannot use one dimensional regression or interpolation, but luckily, many previously studied methods can be extended into higher dimensions as well.

## 2D interplation of data given on a regular grid

If we have data points that are located in a regular grid, the simplest form of interpolation we can use the so-called bilinear interpolation. The interpolation incorporates three computational steps:

1. We linearly interpolate along $y=y_{j}=$ const. and calculate $z\left(x, y_{j}\right)$.
2. We linearly interpolate along $y=y_{j+1}=$ const. and calculate $z\left(x, y_{j+1}\right)$.
3. We linearly interpolate along $x$, between $z\left(x, y_{j}\right)$ and $z\left(x, y_{j+1}\right)$ and find the value $z(x, y)$.


If we introduce more points to the interpolation process, we can use higher order polynomials as well (such as the bicubic interpolation).

As an example let's look at terrain heights measured in a grid. The layout of the measurements, with their $x$ and $y$ coordinates, is given in the following figure:


The matrix containing the measurements are located in the terrain.txt file. Let's load them:

```
clear all; close all;
x = [0, 60, 140, 200, 300];
y = [190, 120, 50, 10, 0];
Z = load('terrain.txt');
```

The built-in function calculating the interpolation works on matrices, so we first have to create a regular grid from the vectors of the $x$ and $y$ coordinates. We can use the built-in meshgrid command for this.

```
[X, Y] = meshgrid(x, y)
```

| $\mathrm{X}=5 \times 5$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 | 300 |
| 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 | 300 |
| 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 | 300 |
| 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 | 300 |
| 0 | 60 | 140 | 200 | 300 |
| $Y=5 \times 5$ |  |  |  |  |
| 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 | 190 |
| 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Now, using the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z matrices, we have 3 dimensional coordinates for each terrain height. For two dimensional interpolation, we can use the interp 2 command, similarly to the interp1 from the previous practical. The interp 2 command can only work with data given in a regular grid (the grid points don't necessarily have to be equidistant). We specify different methods for the interpolation:

- nearest - nearest neighbor interpolation,
- linear - 2D linear interpolation (bilinear),
- spline - cubic spline,
- cubic - 2D cubic interpolation (bicubic).

```
F = @(u, v) interp2(X, Y, Z, u, v, 'spline');
figure(1);
```

```
fsurf(F, [min(x), max(x), min(y), max(y)]);
```



Using our interpolation, we can answer the following questions:

1. What is the height in $(100,100)$ ?
2. What does an West-East section in this point look like?
3. How much cut and fill is needed if we want to level the surface at 135 meters?

The answer to the first question can be found by a simple query using the interpolation:

```
F(100, 100)
```

```
ans =
```

137.807868245719

The W-E section at $(100,100)$ can be drawn by creating two vectors corresponding to the coordinates in the section. The $x$ coordinates go from 0 to 300 with some resolution (let's say, 10 meters) and the $y$ values are a constant 100:

```
xs = 0:10:300; % x coordinates at every 10 meters from 0 to 300
ys = 100*ones(1, length(xs)); % y coordinates are always 100
zs = F(xs, ys); % terrain height from the interpolation
figure(2);
plot(xs, zs);
```



To answer the third question, the amount of cut and fill can be calculated using 2D integration (covered in subsequent practicals). If we calculate the volume between the terrain and a 0 reference level and then subtract it from the volume under the horizontal surface at 135 m , we get the total amount of cut and fill needed. The volume under the terrain can be calculated using the following integral:

$$
V_{T}=\int_{0}^{190} \int_{0}^{300} F(x, y) \mathrm{dx} \mathrm{dy}
$$

```
VT = integral2(F, 0, 300, 0, 190)
```

VT =
7614016.55348964

The volume under the level surface at 135 m :

```
VS = 135*300 * 190
VS =
    7695000
```

The sum of the cut and the fill volumes:

```
V = VS - VT
```

$v=$
80983.4465103578

## 2D regression of data given on an irregular grid

When our data is not located on a regular grid, interpolating it becomes somewhat harder. In case of polynomial regression however, data location can be arbitrary, although, as the degree of the polynomial gets higher, we see similar oscillation as in the one dimensional case (Runge's phenomenon). Moreover, in the two dimensional case, the number of coefficients grows very rapidly as the degree goes up, which means that higher degree polynomials require a lot more data points.

Let's look at the following example. The catchment area (the source of water) of the section of the Danube in Hungary is located in Austria and Bavaria. Depending on the precipitation in these areas, and the water level of the Danube in Budapest at the same time, we can forecast the height of the flood wave at Budapest caused by the precipitation.

Our data matrix located in flood.txt contains 3 columns:

1. The precipitation in the catchment area that caused flood waves on the Danube, in mm .
2. The water level of the Danube at Budapest during the precipitation, in cm .
3. The height of the flood wave at Budapest caused by the precipitation in cm .

Let's load the data and visualize it:

```
clear all; close all;
data = load('flood.txt');
x = data(:, 1); % precipitation in the catchment area [mm]
y = data(:, 2); % water level in BP during the precipitation [cm]
z = data(:, 3); % forecast flood wave height in BP [cm]
figure(1);
scatter3(x, y, z, 'filled'); % 3D scatter plot
xlabel('Precipitation [mm]');
ylabel('Water level in BP [cm]')
```



The simplest regression polynomial we can use is a regression plane:

$$
z(x, y)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} y
$$

The generic form of a more complicated, 2D quadratic regression polynomial:

$$
z(x, y)=c_{1}+c_{2} x+c_{3} y+c_{4} x^{2}+c_{5} x y+c_{6} y^{2}
$$

Our task is to approximate the height of the flood wave in Budapest, if the precipitation in the catchment area was 100 mm and the water level in Budapest at that time was 400 cm . In order to do this, we can use a 2D quadratic polynomial to model the data and query the value of the model at the given values.

The regression polynomial can be written in matrix form (where $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the number of data points):

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & x_{1} & y_{1} & x_{1}^{2} & x_{1} y_{1} & y_{1}^{2} \\
1 & x_{2} & y_{2} & x_{2}^{2} & x_{2} y_{2} & y_{2}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & x_{n} & y_{n} & x_{n}^{2} & x_{n} y_{n} & y_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right] \quad c=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
c_{2} \\
\vdots \\
c_{6}
\end{array}\right] \quad b=\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
z_{2} \\
\vdots \\
z_{6}
\end{array}\right] \\
\boldsymbol{A} \cdot \boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

In MATLAB:

```
n = length(x); % number of data points
A = [ones(n, 1), x, y, x.^2, x.*y, y.^2]
```

| 1 | 58 | 405 | 3364 | 23490 | 164025 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 52 | 450 | 2704 | 23400 | 202500 |
| 1 | 133 | 350 | 17689 | 46550 | 122500 |
| 1 | 179 | 285 | 32041 | 51015 | 81225 |
| 1 | 98 | 330 | 9604 | 32340 | 108900 |
| 1 | 72 | 400 | 5184 | 28800 | 160000 |
| 1 | 72 | 550 | 5184 | 39600 | 302500 |
| 1 | 43 | 480 | 1849 | 20640 | 230400 |
| 1 | 62 | 450 | 3844 | 27900 | 202500 |
| 1 | 67 | 610 | 4489 | 40870 | 372100 |
| $\vdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |

```
c = A\z
c = 6x1
    -1339.90488568953
        17.1883973493066
        4.95723840977286
    -0.0372951053113031
    -0.0179199642155538
    -0.00333877203214026
```

We can also solve the fitting of the regression model using the built-in regress function. The function can give us back the $95 \%$ confidence intervals the residual values at the points as well:

```
[c2, int95, res] = regress(z, A)
```

```
c2 = 6\times1
            -1339.90488568954
                    17.1883973493066
                    4.95723840977287
            -0.0372951053113031
            -0.0179199642155538
            -0.003338777203214028
int95 = 6\times2
                    -3269.18333399781
                    2.34025664610191
            -1.31208695454193
            -0.0702443913017601
            -0.0397719281370144
        -0.00860910072975285
```

    res \(=19 \times 1\)
            19.3390597341336
            71.6254030419311
            1.70162083411185
            0.720015424926714
                30.8593786732861
            3.08123311450356
            -41. 193900243456
            -50.5908496868752
            22.8976885737432
            -3.46942828393469
        \(\vdots\)
    We can define the regression surface using the coefficients:
$f=@(x, y) c(1)+c(2) * x+c(3) * y+c(4)^{*} x . \wedge 2+c(5) * x . * y+c(6) * y . \wedge 2 ;$

Visualizing the model using a contour plot first:
figure(2);
cont = fcontour(f, [min(x), max(x), min(y), max(y)]);
cont.LevelList $=450: 50: 800 ; \%$ contour lines between 450 and 800


3D visualization:
figure(1); hold on;
fsurf(f, $[\min (x), \max (x), \min (y), \max (y)])$
Forecasting the height of the flood wave at 100 mm of precipitation and 400 cm of water level:
xfw = 100;
$y f w=400$;
flood_wave = f(xfw, yfw)
flood_wave =
737.877066272642
plot3(xfw, yfw, flood_wave, 'ro', 'MarkerFaceColor', 'r');


Water level in BP [cm]

## 2D interpolation of data on an irregular grid

When interpolating data on an irregular grid, we have multiple methods to choose from:

- the nearest neighbor method, when the interpolated point gets the value of the closest data point,
- kriging, when the distance from data point gets taken into account with some weighting,
- radial basis functions, when multiple basis functions are fitted to the data points,
- division of the irregular grid with simpler elements.

In MATLAB, the built-in griddata function uses the last method, the so-called Delaunay triangulation. The method creates triangles between the data points in such a way that if we drew the circumcircle for each triangle, no data points would be inside the circles. When choosing the linear option for the griddata command, the surface of the triangles are used for the interpolation. The griddata command can also use other types of interpolations, such as the nearest (nearest neighbor), or the cubic (bicubic spline).

The following two figures show the Delaunay triangulation of the data points from the previous example.

```
tri = delaunay(x, y); % creates a Delaunay triangulation from the data points
figure();
triplot(tri, x, y); hold on; % plot of the triangles
plot(x, y, 'ro');
```


figure();
trisurf(tri, $x, y, z) ;$ 3D plot of the triangles hold on;

```
scatter3(x, y, z, 'ro', 'filled')
```

plot(x, y, 'ro');
triplot(tri, x, y);


How much is the predicted height of the flood wave if the precipitation was 100 mm and the water level was 400 cm , using linear and cubic interpolation?

In order to answer the question, we first have to define the interpolating functions:

```
zlin = @(u, v) griddata(x, y, z, u, v, 'linear');
zcub = @(u, v) griddata(x, y, z, u, v, 'cubic');
```

Using the linear interpolation, the answer is:

```
flood_lin = zlin(100, 400)
```

flood_lin =
724.737732656514

From the cubic interpolation, we get:

```
flood_cubic = zcub(100, 400)
```

flood_cubic =
735.393860996604

Using the regression polynomial, the answer is $\sim 738 \mathrm{~cm}$.
In order to better see the difference between the two types of interpolations, we can plot the corresponding surfaces. First, we create 1000 points between the smallest and largest $x$ and $y$ values and then we use these vectors to create a mesh grid.

```
xv = linspace(min(x), max(x), 1000);
yv = linspace(min(y), max(y), 1000);
[xq, yq] = meshgrid(xv, yv);
```

We can query the value of the interpolation at each of these points. By default, the griddata function does not extrapolate, so if our query point is out of the convex perimeter of the dataset, we get a NaN (not a number) value.

```
zql = zlin(xq, yq)
zql = 1000\times1000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN & NaN \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

```
zqc = zcub(xq, yq);
```

```
zqc = zcub(xq, yq);
```

Now, using the new variables, we can plot the interpolating surfaces. In case of the linear interpolation, we get the same surface as in the Delaunay triangulation plot:

```
% Linear interpolation
figure();
scatter3(x, y, z, 'ro', 'filled');
hold on;
mesh(xq, yq, zql);
plot3(100, 400, flood_lin, 'bo', 'MarkerFaceColor', 'k');
```



```
% Cubic interpolation
figure();
scatter3(x, y, z, 'ro', 'filled');
hold on;
mesh(xq, yq, zqc);
plot3(100, 400, flood_cubic, 'ko', 'MarkerFaceColor', 'k');
```



